10.A.2. <u>20-1341</u>

Consideration of Alternative Land Development Regulation (LDR) Amendment Proposals to Define, Regulate and Establish Criteria for Off-site Accessory Landscaping Services in Agricultural Zoning Districts

Attachments: Staff Report

Stolze/Caribbean Exemption Affidavit

Stolze/Caribbean Site Plan Sketch

BCC 3/5/2019 Meeting Minutes

Staff Report for BCC 6/18/2019 Meeting

BCC 6/18/2019 Meeting Minutes

Hendrix Proposed LDR Amendment (incl AO Letter)

Simmons/Campbell Proposed LDR Amendment

AAC Meeting Minutes (10/30/2019 and 12/11/2019)

PZC 1/23/2020 Meeting Minutes

Staff Proposed "2nd Alternative" LDR Amendment

Director of Planning Roland DeBlois utilized PowerPoint slides to present the proposed Land Development Regulation (LDR) Amendments related to off-site accessory landscaping services on agriculturally zoned (A-1) land. Background was presented, beginning with the March 5, 2019 Commission meeting wherein residents expressed concerns regarding Caribbean Lawn and Landscaping's business model, which they felt violated existing zoning regulations. The Board directed staff to reassess zoning requirements to better balance the needs of agriculture and commercial business.

The issue returned at the June 18, 2019 Commission meeting, where staff presented a proposed LDR amendment (1st Alternative) defining allowable accessory services on A-1 zoned land; the Board directed the Agriculture Advisory Committee (AAC) to review the proposal and return with recommendations. The presentation continued pursuant to AAC discussions.

Director DeBlois then provided details of staff's refined LDR proposal (2nd Alternative), which would allow off-site accessory landscaping businesses subject to certain criteria and approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission under an administrative permit review. Additionally, two publicly submitted LDR amendment proposals had been filed and were being presented for the Board's consideration: the Hendrix proposal suggested criteria for special exception use; the Simmons/Campbell proposal would eliminate off-site accessory landscaping services in A-1 zones. It was noted that any LDR amendment would apply to future businesses, and existing businesses would be grandfathered-in under LDR

Chapter 904 regulations.

During discussion, Commissioner O'Bryan expressed his concerns regarding staff's 2nd Alternative proposal and the attempt to quantify an accessory use business. Chairman Adams was disinclined to pass regulations that were too limiting to the mixed-use nature of A-1 zoned land. Additional discussion continued between Commissioners and staff regarding the LDR proposals, balanced regulations, and hypothetical scenarios.

The Chairman opened the Public Hearing.

The following people spoke before the Board:

Ken Hendrix, 6220 1st Street SW, detailed his LDR proposal, though he now supported the Simmons/Campbell proposal. He provided historic information on the area to support his comments.

John Terry, 37th Street, disagreed with the ruling that allowed this type of commercial business in an agricultural area, and supported the Simmons/Campbell amendment.

The Chairman called a recess at 10:48 a.m., and reconvened the meeting at 10:55 a.m., with all Board members present.

Spencer Simmons, 7350 37th Street, clarified his initial complaint to the Board. He presented his LDR proposal's rationale for eliminating off-site lawn mowing service businesses on agricultural land, and spoke against grandfathering existing businesses.

Jodi Velde, 6300 1st Street SW, representing Tropical Property Management, informed that landscape debris was recognized as an agricultural product by the State of Florida.

Tim Campbell, 7330 37th Street, posited that commercial businesses were being established on agricultural land in order to pay less tax, and displayed supporting documents.

Marilyn C. Ingram, 6985 37th Street, voiced concern that a precedent had

been set, opening the door to future commercialization of the neighborhood.

Amy Simmons, 7350 37th Street, expressed that the permitting process was flawed.

There being no further speakers, the Chairman closed the Public Hearing.

Discussion resumed with Commissioners and staff providing input on the three proposals to amend existing LDRs. County Attorney Dylan Reingold provided guidance on the LDR amendment process, grandfathering existing businesses, and freezing new businesses under the Pending Ordinance Doctrine.

A motion was made by Commissioner Solari, seconded by Vice Chairman Flescher, to deny staff's 2nd Alternative Ordinance amendments presented to the Board. Additionally, the Board requested that staff return with a new Ordinance which included language eliminating off-site commercial landscaping businesses, particularly entailing lawn maintenance, from operating on agriculturally zoned land, while grandfathering existing businesses. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Vice Chairman Flescher, Commissioner Zorc, Commissioner O'Bryan, and Commissioner Solari

Nay: 1 - Chairman Adams

The Chairman called a recess at 11:49 a.m., and reconvened the meeting at 11:55 a.m., with all Board members present.

10.A.3. Public Hearing for the Citrus Hideaway Subdivision Water Assessment Project, Resolution III (Legislative)

Attachments: Staff Report

Confirming Resolution (Resolution No III)

Exhibit A Assessment Roll

Assessment Area Map

Resolution formally accepting easement

Director of Utility Services Vincent Burke utilized a PowerPoint presentation to recap the events that led to the final Public Hearing for the Citrus Hideaway Subdivision Water Assessment Project. His presentation continued with a proposed timeline, funding sources, and a request for a utility easement.

The Chairman opened the Public Hearing. There being no speakers, the Chairman closed the Public Hearing.

A motion was made by Commissioner O'Bryan, seconded by Vice Chairman Flescher, to approve: 1) Resolution 2020-022, confirming the Special Assessments in connection with a water main extension from CR-510 along 75th Court to owners of certain properties, within Citrus Hideaway Subdivision and property abutting 75th Court, located within unincorporated Indian River County, Florida; and providing for Special Assessment Liens to be made of record; and 2) Resolution 2020-023, formally accepting an easement for utility purposes only, over, across and under the 60-foot private road right-of-way of 75th Court, located in unincorporated Indian River County, Florida. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Chairman Adams, Vice Chairman Flescher, Commissioner Zorc, Commissioner O'Bryan, and Commissioner Solari

B. PUBLIC DISCUSSION ITEMS