Category	Category Ranking	County	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Ru	nning Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Tota Project	otal Project Cost	Comments	AVG	SD
Engagement	1	IR	ORCA	The Living Lagoon	\$ 37,434.00	\$	37,434.00	\$ 166,203.00	82%	\$ 203,637.00		87.60	6.0
Engagement	2	LW	Marine Resources Council	Building Capacity for Citizen Engagement with the Indian River LagoonWatch Network	\$ 28,788.00	\$	66,222.00	\$ 50,388.00	64%	\$ 79,176.00	Implementation MUST demonstrate lagoon-wide coverage	86.40	7.2
Engagement	3	В	University of Florida	Source and Concentration of Surface Water Nutrients from Residential Waterfront Homes in Brevard County, Florida	\$ 27,401.00	\$	93,623.00	\$ 11,668.00	30%	\$ 39,069.00	1. Great idea to try and quantify the value to converting yard to Florida Friendly Landscaping. 10 yards doesn't seem to be a large enough sample to be significant. Also concerned that the results should clearly measure the difference between wet/dry season runoff AND vlaue of FFY/Lawn. Also concerned that septic or legacy septic could skew results in such a small sample. 2. should have been submitted under the Science and Innovative Technologies category and not the Citizen Engagement and Education category. I believe it's a strong proposal but the ultimate goal for the project is to answer a research question and the proposal does not directly engage many citizens.	80.00	6.9
Engagement	4	IR	Pelican Island Audubon Society Inc. (PIAS)	Audubon Advocates and Lagoon Gardens: Changing behavior to improve Lagoon habitat	\$ 25,000.00	\$	118,623.00	\$ 98,354.00	80%	\$ 123,354.00		79.00	5.1
Engagement	5	V, B	University of Central Florida	Shellfish Harvests in the Indian River Lagoon: Restoring Biodiversity through Capturing Historical Reflections	\$ 9,891.00	\$	128,514.00	\$ 11,409.00	54%	\$ 21,300.00	This is an extremely unique proposal, but I'm not certain that IRLNEP is the right fit. 2. Interesting project, but is it important for lagoon restoration?	75.50	13.5
Engagement	6	V	Marine Discovery Center, Marine Resources Council, Volusia County Environmental Management, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission	Project H2O Phase III: Citizen Science Engagement	\$ 31,950.00	\$	160,464.00	\$ 41,350.00	56%	\$ 73,300.00	This project with Lagoon Watch seems like a lot of money for water monitoring that does not include any nutrient data. As a lagoon volunteer for many years and a water monitor for a couple of years, I have never seen any results or comments about trend from the existing water monitoring program that has been going on for 25 years. If the quality control and better reporting can significantly improve the usefulness of the data, then consider funding that portion only for this year.	74.40	12.6

Category	Category Ranking	Rank	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running To	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	LATAL Drainet	Comments	AVG	SD
Engagement	7	В	Surfrider Foundation in partnership with Brevard County's Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) Program and the Town of Melbourne Beach	Its Your Lagoon!	\$ 11,750.00	\$ 172,214.0	0 \$ 4,762.	29%	\$ 16,512.00	1. I think this proposal's strongest components are the demonstration sites/buffers andf the proposed workshops. But, I don't see a strong effort made to enable actual behavior change. Brochures & posters aren't very meaningful. Moreover, materail distriution is not a meaningful outcome. 2. There is a desperate need to demonstrate to homeowners that their yards can look beatiful without sod to the waterline. Public parks are the right location where it will be maintained and observed.	72.67	10.€
Engagement	8	В	Brevard County Natural Resources Management Department and Brevard County Schools	Countywide Rain Bench Construction and Installation	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 177,214.0	0 \$ 5,000.	50%	\$ 10,000.00	1. This could be an excellent project, but there needs to be an actual plan for education. Simply installing benches doesn't constitute education nor behavior change. I would really like to see this project with a more robust proposal, [possibly using the evaluation criteria to rethink the project. 2. Good hands on education but not much actual water retention. Perhaps fund through license plate funding??	CE 50	7.0
Engagement	9	LW	Blue Tube, Inc.	Blue Tube Installation	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 187,214.0	0 \$ 23,026.	70%	\$ 33,026.00	Corporate sponsorship is \$150/tube. Fund at \$3,000 for 20 tubes. License tag funding??	62.67	17.3
				Engagement Total	\$ 187,214.00		\$ 412,160.0		\$ 599,374.00	tag funding??	02	

.

-

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	AVG	SD
Restoration	1	V	UCF	Living Shoreline and Oyster Reef Restoration in Mosquito Lagoon: Continuation of Successful Models and Successful Partnerships	\$ 82,770.00	\$ 82,770.00	\$ 87,055.00	51%	\$ 169,825.00	Fund. Strong history of excellence in IRL restoration research. Clear restoration outcomes and high education component.	92.2	3.8
Restoration	2	В	Brevard Zoo	Restore Our Shores: Engaging Brevard Public Schools to Restore Our Shoreline	\$ 81,280.00	\$ 164,050.00	\$ 166,024.60	67%	\$ 247,304.60	Fund. Strong match, many partners, high value and visibility, Rotary and school connection make this project unique.	85.60	13.2
Restoration	3	LW	Indian River Lagoon Aquatic Preserves	Indian River Lagoon Shoreline Restoration Project	\$ 52,425.00	\$ 216,475.00	\$ 53,469.00	50%	\$ 105,894.00	Fund. Strong ongoing work with FDEP - important to continue. Oyster mapping essential for restoration.	82.67	9.3
Restoration	4	М	Florida Oceanographic Society	Enhancing seagrass restoration success in the Indian River Lagoon by incorporating genetic diversity from an established nursery source.	\$ 43,048.00	\$ 259,523.00	\$ 43,154.00	50%	\$ 86,202.00	More of a research project. 2. Fund. Interesting approach with highly qualified team. Understanding genetic diversity & resilience will be important. IRLNEP needs to help coordinate seagrass and filter feeder network.	82.67	6.6
Restoration	5	В	City of Satellite Beach	Desoto Parkway Drainage Basin Stormwater Enhancement Project	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 292,523.00	\$ 35,000.00	51%	\$ 68,000.00	11,000 linear feet of highway. Not clear on nutrient reduction benefits. May be able to obtain plants with FWC assistance.	82.3	9.2
Restoration	6	М	Town of Ocean Breeze	Ocean Breeze Treatment Train Retrofit	\$ 180,000.00	\$ 472,523.00	\$ 1,090,981.00	86%	\$ 1,270,981.00	Great project. High value, funding not fully secured. Confirm funding gap?	82.0	10.2
Restoration	7	V	Bethune-Cookman University	Reed Canal Basin Stormwater Improvement through Treatment Wetland Construction in South Daytona, FL	\$ 181,148.00	\$ 653,671.00	\$ 183,095.00	50%	\$ 364,243.00	Fund. Strong proposal, high value, ready to go, small, underserved community, many partners.	81.80	11.8
Restoration	8	М	Town of Sewall's Point	Mandalay Marguerita Stormwater Improvements	\$ 180,000.00	\$ 833,671.00	\$ 1,623,361.00	90%	\$ 1,803,361.00	#1 in SLRIT. Strong value to IRL. Funding not fully secured.	79.2	9.4

.

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	AVG	,
Restoration	9	SL	St. Andrew's Academy	St. Andrews Academy Living Shoreline	\$ 82,510.00	\$ 916,181.00	\$ 85,712.00	51%	\$ 168,222.00	Strong partners, unique school location on IRL. May need some permit and technical assitantce. Really strong education component.	76.8	
Restoration	10	В, М	UF/IFAS	Septic to Cistern Conversion: Repurposing Septic Tanks to Reduce Potable Water Use, Runoff Volumes and Nutrient Loadings, and Increase Freshwater Recharge		\$ 1,014,372.00	\$ 64,870.00	40%	\$ 163,061.00	More of a research project. 2. Fund. Valuable pilot project. Novel approach to septic repurposing. Economic analysis could help incentivize septic to sewer conversions. ID of sites and selection criteria vague. Permits and variances may be required. Strong partners.	74.0	
Restoration	11	IR	Town of Indian River Shores	Indian River Shores' Stormwater and Flood Protection Project	\$ 180,000.00	\$ 1,194,372.00	\$ 1,161,505.25	87%	\$ 1,341,505.25	Fund. Ready to move, 76 acres, good water quality benefits.	72.0	
Restoration	12	IR	Indian River County	Wetland Restoration at Jones Pier Conservation Area	\$ 11,750.00	\$ 1,206,122.00	\$ 12,650.00	52%	\$ 24,400.00	Fund. Very interesting, high value restoration with many unique feature. High visibility. Restoration value, water quality improvement. Historic and cultural value. Wetland creation, invasive, exotic removal.	70.0	
Restoration	13	IR	Indian River Lagoon Filter Clams	Indian River Lagoon Filter Clams	\$ 30,864.48	\$ 1,236,986.48	\$ 31,000.00	50%		Weak proposal but concept is sound. Great to see commercial shellfish industry participation. Proposal lacks detail but IRLNEP should work with proposer to advance the idea. Not clear how project will define success.	63.8	
Restoration	14	IR	City of Vero Beach	Foot Island Merrill Barber Bridge Stabilization	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 1,311,986.48	\$ 75,000.00	50%	\$ 150,000.00	High value restoration project. High visibility with multiple benefits. Low score reflects lack of detail in proposal not restoration value. Evidence that area has strong oyster recruitment. No educational component hurts proposal.	61.5	
Restoration	15	IR	City of Vero Beach	Oyster Outfalls	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 1,331,986.48	\$ 20,000.00	50%	\$ 40,000.00	Interesting appraoch to use oyster restoration on shoreline of outfalls. Would provide polishing of water. Some concerns about salinity range and survivability. This project might need more work, or, if funded, utilize IRLNEP partners to help.	60.7	
Restoration	16	IR	City of Vero Beach	Vero Beach Muck Aeration Pilot Project	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 1,381,986.48	\$ 50,000.00	50%	\$ 100,000.00	This is really a research project. 2. This project should be deferred until after Dr. Trefry completes study with Satellite Beach (if funded). Weak support on science side, monitoring and how to quantify success.	57.8	

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project		Comments	AV
Restoration	17	В	Hubbs SeaWorld Research Institute and Sea and Shoreline	Replenishment of Seagrasses in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 161,701.00	\$ 1,543,687.48	\$ 85,910.00	35%	\$ 247,611.00	Fund. Sea and Shoreline has long history of success in seagrass culture and restoration. Hubbs is a new player in this arena. Primary focus is hatchery development and capacity building. High likelihood of success though restoration details are lacking.	57.5
				Restoration Total	\$ 1,543,687.48		\$ 4,868,786.85		\$ 6,412,474.33		T

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	AVG	SD
Science & Technology	` 1	V	UCF	Developing a Shoreline Restoration Suitability Model, Phase 1: Evaluation of shoreline characteristics in the northern Indian River and Mosquito Lagoon	\$ 41,454.00	\$ 41,454.00	\$ 22,489.00	35%	\$ 63,943.00	1. Good student involvement. 2. Fund - existing, ongoing project with high ROI; restoartion suitability has broad IRL application. 3. The information is of value, and the approach has been standardized. The results should prove useful in the future.	90.0	7.7
Science & Technology	2	В	Florida Institute of Technology	The Efficacy of Sediment Aeration as a Complement to Muck Dredging in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 161,454.00	\$ 42,000.00	26%	\$ 162,000.00	1. Is it scalable? If not, benefit is limited. Barely makes mimimum match. 2. Fund! High priority question. Strong experimental design, team with proven expertise; Aeration as a "Complement" to dredging must be explored. 3. This work is pseudoreplicated analysis of data and interpretation of results must be done carefully, i.e.,this is not a valid test of the null hypothesis that aeration has no effect(s). It can be a valid way to look for patterns in states and rates that appear to be related to aeration, but those results should not be considered rigorous evidence of causation.	89.2	5.6
Science & Technology	3	LW	Applied Ecology Inc.	Development of the State of the Indian River Lagoon Web Application (SIRLAP) and Ecological Health Gap Analyses	\$ 42,590.00	\$ 204,044.00	\$ 26,372.00	38%	\$ 68,962.00	1. Many have tried to do this and IF successful will be useful. What does NTP mean? 2. Lagoonwide value. Innovative visualization tool, open access, needs to align with IRL Health Assessment. 3. Attempts to hold all necessary data in one database have failed multiple times in the past, with even an effort to centralize one type of data (water quality in STORET) being ridiculed. Even if the data can be assembled in a useable format, overly simplistic evaluations of their import will substantially decrease the utility of the app. It is true that data collection has not been massaged to support the proposed use in large part because the proposed use is too simplistic, but the contention that data exist without a purpose is close to baseless.	87.0	8.1
Science & Technology	4	LW	Hubbs-SeaWorld Research Institute	Linking Wildlife Health to Ecological Factors in the Heavily Impacted Indian River Lagoon	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 270,044.00	\$ 28,513.00	30%	\$ 94,513.00	1. There are a lot of unknowns with otters. Do they move around a lot? Does diet reflect feeding sites? Does a sick animal eat the same as a healthy animal? 2. UMES demonstrate need to better understand IRL Wildlife health. New work on IRL otters is valuable. Dolphin health high value as top level predators. Hubbs and team highly experienced to do this work. 3. The health of wildlife is important, but documenting the problem without a clearer plan for identifying causes and solutions is probably not a high priority.	81.5	6.9
Science & Technology	5	V	UF	Leveraging natural selection in bivalves of the northern Indian River Lagoon to enhance water quality and accelerate ecosystem recovery	\$ 62,200.00	\$ 332,244.00	\$ 77,146.00	55%		1. This scored high but I'm not totally convinced. Studies have shown that hard clams "clam up" so finding ones that do not, or do so less often may be an impossible task. 2. Clam are emerging as an important issue that must be addressed; would like to see data that states clams are available and that experimental design is tight. If funded, IRLNEP needs to bring FDACS, Sea Grant, industry and universities together to work on this problem.	81.0	3.8

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	AVG	;
Science & Technology	6	М	Loggerhead Marinelife Center	Health effects of biotoxins and toxicants on endangered green sea turtles in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 96,145.00	\$ 428,389.00	\$ 32,686.00	25%	\$ 128,831.00	1. This should be turned into a collaborative effort with UCF - shared funding. 2. In part, this project is redundant to ongoing efforts at Sebastian Inlet although it greatly expands those long-term efforts. 3. Low match and could improve the cost-sharing by partnering with other turtle study. 4. 2 different proposals differ in location only; experimental design needs more detail; sea turtle research groups need to get together on IRL focused research. 5. A single project combining both proposed efforts would be a better approach.	80.8	
Science & Technology	7	SL	Smithsonian Marine Station	Oyster Survival: Developing oyster brood stock that can outlast the outflow	\$ 67,302.00	\$ 495,691.00	\$ 32,015.00	32%	\$ 99,317.00	Doable but salinity regime could change over time, or change oyster natural distribution.     Important role for fisheries and aquaculture folk; info could inform management decisions; Interesting appraach worth exploring.     The approach is somewhat risky, and the outcomes did not seem clearly defined.	80.3	
Science & Technology	8	В	Florida Institute of Technology	Mineral Accretion: An environmentally friendly method for promoting the growth of oysters and filter feeding organisms in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 45,096.00	\$ 540,787.00	\$ 24,383.00	35%	\$ 69,479.00	Valuable new technology application with example from coral research.     Should be explored and funded? High value, strong proposal. 2. Potentially useful project, but not a very high priority need.	80.2	
Science & Technology	9	В	Florida Institute of Technology	Adaptive Framework for Predicting the Impacts of Climate Change and Urbanization on Water Quality in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 640,787.00	\$ 25,000.00	20%	\$ 125,000.00	Planning tool. Does not account for southern IRL. Minimum match. 2. Important planning tool for CCMP. If funded, needs to include entire IRL; outward look to 2025 important to decision-making; Team has strong credentials and experience. Recommend fund! 3. It would be better to link this modeling with ongoing efforts more explicitly.	80.2	
Science & Technology	10	SL	Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Florida Atlantic University	An adaptive, mobile/static observation network for real-time water quality monitoring and movement tracking in the IRL	\$ 150,800.00	\$ 791,587.00	\$ 40,939.00	21%	\$ 191,739.00	Expensive. 2. This would be an enhancement for tracking HABs but may not be a critical need. Barely above the minimum match. 3. Smart drifter network; need to look at big picture: does system integrate with and complement existing sampling and monitoring efforts; love intelligent monitoring capacity. Match?? 4. Uncertain about the utility of this work, given the relatively limited number of parameters that will be measured well. A clearer definition of the outcomes would be useful.	79.0	
Science & Technology	11	LW	UCF	Impacts of harmful algal blooms and habitat degradation on Indian River Lagoon sea turtle disease and foraging ecology	\$ 44,819.32	\$ 836,406.32	\$ 38,403.45	46%	\$ 83,222.77	1. This should be turned into a collaborative effort with Loggerhead Marine Life Center - shared funding. 2. Great match. Would benefit from partnering with other turtle group. 3. Similar to the other sea turtle project proposed; team has strong experience and 36 years of long term research on IRL; cutting edge skin microbiome work. Worthy of funding and contributes to long term data. 4. A single project combining both proposed efforts would be a better approach.	79.0	

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	AVG	SD
Science & Technology	12	SL	Smithsonian Marine Station	Developing novel DNA sequencing applications to identify harmful algae in the IRL		\$ 882,213.32	\$ 26,675.00	37%	\$ 72,482.00	1. ID of phytoplankton is needed but I think it may be a stretch to say it will improve WQ. It may only improve our response to problems. 2. High value, high risk proposal; success of novel approach could advance algal bloom research; addresses major technical challenges facing algal bloom research; downside: Needs long time frame for validaion. 3. The proposed approach holds considerable promise for accelerating the identification of key phytoplankton, but the speed of our response is not as big an issue as the shortage of resource to sample rigorously.	78.8	4.1
Science & Technology	13	В	Brevard County Natural Resources Management Department	Continuous Monitoring and Adaptive Control (CMAC) Retrofits for Water Quality in Brevard County		\$ 1,010,945.32	\$ 181,474.00	59%	\$ 310,206.00	1. Values for %N removed are for rain events in mid-Atlantic unlike what are experienced in Florida. May not work well under heavy summer rains or only work as well as passive systems. I like this project but would be more confortable seeing this in with other stormwater projects. 2. Valuable proof of concept, broad lagoon-wide value and increased efficiency for nutrient reduction in existing systems. Strong proposal, high value. 3. The suite of parameters being measured is limited, with other forms of nitrogen and some measure of phosphorus being recommended. This project also would benefit from explicit before-after sampling or an attempt to sample a reference system. In either case, the project would remain pseudoreplicated, which means analysis of data and interpretation of results must be done carefully, i.e., this is not a valid test of the null hypothesis that the automated system has no effect(s). It can be a valid way to look for patterns in states and rates that appear to be related to the system, but those results should not be considered rigorous evidence of causation.	78.8	8.6
Science & Technology	14	М	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Fish and Wildlife Research Institute	Using acoustic telemetry to assess habitat use of juvenile Goliath Grouper in mangrove nursery habitats of the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 58,214.91	\$ 1,069,160.23	\$ 19,539.12	25%	\$ 77,754.03	Interesting study. A lot of collaboration. Great benefit to understanding grouper fishery but this should stay in FWC's court. Not much benefit to IRL ecosystem issues.     Love this project but not sure based on nutrient/habitat focus. Critically endangered species and should be looked at in the IRL. Habitat connection could have been a stronger component.     Although an important species, work on grouper does not match the highest priority issue facing the lagoon at this time. For example, if poor water quality degrades habitats juvenile grouper will not have a home. Perhaps, funds from FFWCC could be allocated to offset costs.	76.5	3.0
Science & Technology	15	В	Florida Institute of Technology	Predicting the filtering capacity of benthic communities as part of a "Living Dock" in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 58,760.00	\$ 1,127,920.23	\$ 26,061.00	31%		Is it scalable? Would have to be scaled up to have significant impact.     Ten Shells? Is this enough? Question about rigor of experimental design.     Similar work in the past has failed to live up to the promised delivery. We have some information on grazing by fouling communities, so this project does not meet a high priority need.	75.0	6.2

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	Total Project Cost	Comments	A
Science & Technology	16	LW	Florida Institute of Technology	Verification and validation of high- resolution numerical wave model predictions in the IRL for planning of coastal restoration / costal development	\$ 54,079.00	\$ 1,181,999.23	\$ 16,697.00	24%	\$ 70,776.00	1. [Stated under both technical merit and benefits to IRL] Relative to other knowledge gaps, how critical is a wave model? 2. Wind, wave and circulation model for entire IRL will be important to restoration decisions. Need to discuss with SJRWMD to see if their modelers and communicating?? Check match.  3. It would be better to link this modeling with ongoing efforts more explicitly.	74
Science & Technology	17	SL	Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Florida Atlantic University	The use of community- based indicators in determining environmental health		\$ 1,362,074.23	\$ 60,000.00	25%	\$ 240,075.00	1. Concerned about the cost-effectiveness. I liked this project much better than the score reflects. If they were requesting funds for validation of an already-proven technology, I would have scored it higher. 2. Biological indicators for sediment contaminants; novel survey technique; might take some time to validate methodology for application and management guidance. Cost? 3. Bacterial community composition may be a very sensitive indicator of "pollution," but it is unlikely to be an indicator of a specific type of pollution and the relevance of any differences is not clearly demonstrated.	73
Science & Technology	18	SL	Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Florida Atlantic University	Modeling ecosystem dynamics in the Indian River Lagoon and assessing the potential impacts of climate change	\$ 48,317.00	\$ 1,410,391.23	\$ 21,956.00	31%	\$ 70,273.00	1. This is a continuation project and regardless of score (Unless the first year product was a total disaster) I believe it should be supported. 2. 2nd phase ongoing work; model has broad applications; must integrate with other models like SJRWMDs; should be refunded despite low score. 3. It would be better to link this modeling with ongoing efforts more explicitly.	73
Science & Technology	19	SL	ORCA	Monitoring Pollutants of Concern for Human Health in the Indian River Lagoon	\$ 64,010.00	\$ 1,474,401.23	\$ 50,000.00	44%		1. Important in that proposal looks at pharma and personal care products; human health issues should be elevated as funding priorities; concern about experimental design details; strong team; questions about how this project would inform management decisions. 2. The lack of understanding regarding the limitations of Microtox tests raise concerns. Past experience with similar data indicated a problem with interpretation of results.	73
Science & Technology	20	В	Florida Institute of Technology	Copepod grazing on harmful algal blooms and the effect of salinity on grazing rates	\$ 55,284.00	\$ 1,529,685.23	\$ 18,615.00	25%	\$ 73,899.00	Minimum match. 2. Important consideration for algal bloom science.  Basic scientific research, not clear how it would advise resource management and restoration. 3. This work will expand on existing information. Perhaps, not a tremendously high priority.	72.
Science & Technology	21	SL	Sunergy Power Systems, Inc	Biogeochemical model of St. Lucie West's attainment of on-site TMDL for Total Phosphorus.	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 1,579,685.23	\$ 353,107.00	88%	\$ 403,107.00	Not sure what to make of this projec't match. Regardless of score, I do not support this project in the research category. I would feel more comfortable if it were in with other stromwater projects.     Not clear how this provides broad/new guidance for other locations or how robust the mode might be.     The proposed work was not presented in a way that allowed for rigorous evaluation of its utility.	62

Category	Category Ranking	Ranking	Applicant	Project	Requested Funding	Running Total	Cost Share Match	Match as % of Total Project	I OTAL PROJECT	Comments	AVG	SD
Community Resilience	1	LW	RWParkinson Consulting, Inc. and The Balmoral Group	Risk-based vulnerability assessment of the Indian River Lagoon to climate change and sea-level rise	\$ 24,700.00	\$ 24,700.00	\$ -	0%		Fund. Strong, qualified team. Strict adherance to EPA guidelines. No match?     Not a very rigorously designed effort to gather "expert" opinions. The proponents offer little in the way of specialized analysis.	62.5	7.8
				Community Resilience Total:			\$ -		\$ 24,700.00			
				Grand Total:	\$ 3,335,286.71		\$ 6,445,017.42		\$ 9,780,304.13			